Laws Delays Committee and with a view to meet the extra cost on account of the measures for the improvement in the pay and prospects of the process establishment.

(*c*) There is no reason to think that the enhancement was intended to be only temporary.

(*d*) No such intention was expressed.

(*e*) In view of the financial position and in view of the additional facilities created by way of additional courts it is not possible to effect reduction in the rates.

(*f*) No.

(*g*) No such general complaint has been received.

MR. K. M. KRISHNAPPA GOWDA (Nagamangala Taluk).—

Q.—43. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Is it a fact that the raiyats holding lands under Sulekere tank in Kasaba Hobli, Nagamangala Taluk, have petitioned to connect it with Singarasinkere tank to increase the supply of water?

(*b*) What action has been taken by Government in the matter?

(*c*) How many years ago did the raiyats put in their petitions?

(*d*) Is it a fact that the raiyats below the tank have agreed to pay contribution?

(*e*) If so, why the tank work has not been started?

(*f*) Whether the Government will be pleased at least to order the taking up of the work now?

(*g*) Has it come to the notice of Government that, on account of insufficient supply of water, the whole wet *atchkat* under the tank is not irrigated?

(*h*) If so, has any concession been shown to any of the raiyats who have sustained losses ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—43. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The question was investigated.

(*c*) 10 years ago.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) The cost was considered to be very high and the raiyats did not come forward with any contribution.

(*f*) This will be considered on its merits, if the raiyats are willing to make an adequate contribution.

(*g*) No.

(*h*) The question does not arise in view of the answer to (*g*).

MR. PUTTAVEERABHADRAPPA (Madhugiri Taluk).—

Q.—44. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Is it under the consideration of Government to supply water to the tanks of Tingalur and other villages in Madhugiri Taluk by the construction of an anicut near Hampasandra across the Kumudvati river ?

(*b*) In what year do they propose to undertake this work ?

(*c*) Has an estimate of cost of this work been got prepared ?

(*d*) Have the villagers of Tingalur and other villages agreed to pay contribution and executed *mutchalika*?

(*e*) Is it not desirable to see that such works are executed early in the interests of the raiyats ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—44. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) When funds permit.

(*c*) Yes; for Rs. 13,800.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes.

MR. H. C. VEERABHADRAPPA (Chiknayakanhalli Taluk).—

Q.—45. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether any remission of kandayam has been granted to the atchkatdars under the Borankanive tank for insufficient supply of water during the years 1925-26 and 1928-29 and the previous years ?

(*b*) What is the amount of remission granted ?

(*c*) Whether it has not come to the notice of the Government that the raiyats under the above tank are being impoverished every year owing to the failure of crops ?

(*d*) Whether the Government had not agreed to improve the source of supply of water to this tank by constructing a dam across Singadahalli stream and diverting the water ?